



The Emergence Of Antioch Acts 11

Introduction

From this moment on in Luke's *"Second Treatise,"* one local church will play a prominent role...the church of the Lord in Antioch of Syria. It was there the early disciples received their Divine name (11:26). From there God would call His "missionaries" to carry the gospel to the rest of the ancient world (13:1-2). She truly is a "model church" we can pattern ourselves after today.

Peter's Report Re: His Work In Caesarea (11:1-18)

- 1) What "news" got "circulated?" (v. 1) When Peter is back in Jerusalem, what happens? (vv. 2-3)
- 2) How does Peter address this "concern?" (vv. 4-16) What was the only point of reference he could use in his defense? (v. 15)
- 3) What else did he admit to remembering? (v. 16; cp. 1:5) What was the only conclusion he could come to? (v. 17) How did the Jews react to his "report?" (v. 18)

The Church In Antioch (11:19-26)

- 4) Again, what must Luke mention that was still having an "impact?" (v. 19a; cp. 8:1b, 4) Where did these disciples go and who did they teach? (v. 19b)
- 5) Who else does Luke mention? (v. 20a) Who did these disciples teach? (v. 20b) How effective were they? (v. 21)

The Two Treatises

Lesson 35

6) What happened when this news reached the apostles in Jerusalem? (v. 22) What did Barnabas do? (v. 23; cp. 4:36) How does Luke describe him? (v. 24a) How effective was Barnabas? (v. 24b) What did he decide to do? (vv. 25-26a)

7) What is important about v. 26b?

More Benevolence (11:27-30)

8) What is described in vv. 27-28a? What was their message? (v. 28b)

9) What did the Christians at Antioch decide to do? (vv. 29-30) *Thought question:* how does this differ from what is recorded in 2:44-45 & 4:32, 34-37?

10) In what way is this passage authoritative for the local church today?